1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Bruce G. Chapman (SBN 164258) bchapman@cblh.com Keith D. Fraser (SBN 216279) kfraser@cblh.com CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUT 333 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 787-2500; Facsimile: Dianne B. Elderkin (pro hac vice) delderkin@akingump.com Barbara L. Mullin (pro hac vice) bmullin@akingump.com Steven D. Maslowski (pro hac vice) smaslowski@akingump.com Angela Verrecchio (pro hac vice) averrecchio@akingump.com Matthew A. Pearson (pro hac vice) mpearson@akingump.com Rubén H. Muñoz (pro hac vice) rmunoz@akingump.com AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & Two Commerce Square, Suite 4100 2001 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 965-1200; Facsimile:	AUG -3 PM 3: 49 K U.S. DISTRICT COURT LOS ANGELES FELD LLP	
15 16	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. and Third-Party Defendants GLOBAL PHARMACEUTICAL SUPPLY GROUP, LLC, CENTOCOR BIOLOGICS, LLC and JOM PHARMACEUTICAL SERVICES, INC.		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
- 18	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION		
19	CENTRO COR OPTILO DIOTECH	Case No. CV 08-03573 MRP (JEMx)	
20	CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. ,	The Honorable Mariana R. Pfaelzer	
21	Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
22	v. GENENTECH, INC. and CITY OF	Date: August 17, 2010	
23	HOPE,	Time: 11:00 a.m. Ctrm: 12	
24	Defendants.	Cum. 12	
25	AND RELATED COUNTER AND		
26	THIRD-PARTY AFFILIATES		
27			
28			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Dori Dellisanti, the undersigned, certify and declare that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and not a party to the above-entitled cause. My business address is Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP, 333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2300, Los Angeles, California 90071.

On August 3, 2010, I served the foregoing documents described as:

- 1. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT (MOTION NO. 1).
- 2. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT (MOTION NO. 1)
- 3. REPLY IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. AND ITS CROSS DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO INFRINGEMENT OF CLAIM 33 (MOTION NO. 3) AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CROSS MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION.
- 4. RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO INFRINGEMENT OF CLAIM 33 (MOTION NO. 3) AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR CROSS MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION.
- 5. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF CLAIM 33 FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH 35 USC § 112 (MOTION NO. 4).
- 6. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF CLAIM 33 FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH 35 USC § 112 (MOTION NO. 4).
- 7. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF ANTICIPATION (MOTION NO. 5).
- 8. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF ANTICIPATION (MOTION NO. 5).
- CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR 9. MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT CLAIM 33 IS INVALID FOR FAILURE TO DISCLOSE THE BEST MODE (MOTION NO. 6).
- CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-10. DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT CLAIM 33 IS INVALID FOR FAILURE TO DISCLOSE THE **BEST MODE (MOTION NO. 6).**
- SECOND DECLARATION OF MATTHEW A. PEARSON IN 11. SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLIES TO THEIR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, MOTION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM TERM "IMMULOGLOBULIN" AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CROSS MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION.
- APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL DOCUMENTS 12. RELATING TO RELATING TO CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, MOTION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM TERM AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION.
- **IPROPOSED** ORDER TO FILE UNDER SEAL DOCUMENTS 13. RELATING TO RELATING TO CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, MOTION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM TERM AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION.

on the following person(s) in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope addressed as follows:

VIA HAND DELIVERY David I Gindler Joseph M Lipner Irell and Manella 1800 Avenue of the Stars Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4276	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant City of Hope Medical Center Tel: 310-277-1010 Fax: 310-203-7199 Email: jlipner@irell.com; dgindler@irell.com Coh.centocor.team@irell.com
	Con.centocor.team@nem.com

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 2:08-cv-03573-MRP -JEM Document 332 Filed 08/03/10 Page 4 of 7 Page ID #:9794

İ			
1	BY U.S. MAIL	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Genentech, Inc.	
2	Mark A. Pals	Counterclaimant Genentech, Inc. Tel: 312-861-2000	
	Marcus E Sernel Kirkland and Ellis LLP	Fax: 312-861-2200	
3	300 North LaSalle Street	Email: mpals@kirkland.com msernel@kirkland.com	
4	Chicago, IL 60654	msemen@knkiand.com	
5	BY U.S. MAIL	Attorneys for Defendant and	
6	Daralyn J. Durie	Counterclaimant Genentech, Inc.	
	Ryan Kent Durie Tangri Lemley Roberts &	Tel: 415-362-6666	
7	Durie Tangri Lemley Roberts & Kent LLP	Email: ddurie@durietangri.com	
8	332 Pine Street Suite 200	Email: ddurie@durietangri.com rkent@durietangri.com	
9	San Francisco, CA 94104		
	[X] BY MAIL I am readily familiar with the firm's practice regarding collection		
10	and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully		
11	prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served service is presumed invalid if the postal		
12	cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
13	[X] BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee(s) as stated above.		
14	I FEDERAL EXPRESS: Lam readily familiar with the office practice of		
15	[] FEDERAL EXPRESS: I am readily familiar with the office practice of Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP for collecting and processing correspondence for overnight delivery by Federal Express. Such practice is that when		
16	Connolly Roye Lodge & Hutz LLP personnel responsible fore delivering		
17	correspondence to Federal Express, such correspondence is delivered to a Federal Express location or to an authorized courier or driver authorized by Federal		
18	Express to receive documents or deposited at a facility regularly maintained by Federal Express for receipt of documents on the same day in the ordinary course		
19	of business.	ments on the same day in the ordinary course	
20	[X] BY E-MAIL: (1) I caused copies of the above documents to be emailed to the interested parties based on the email addresses indicated herein and/or (2) Based		
21	on General Order 08-02, the attached document(s) was sent to the person(s) at the e-mail addres(es) indicated above through the Court's Electronic Filing		
22	System (ECF).		
23	[X] <u>FEDERAL</u> I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.		
24	I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 3, 2010 at Los Angeles, California.		
25			
26	Dori Dellisanti	Dori Delivarità Signature	
27	Name	Signature	
28			

PROOF OF SERVICE BY HAND DELIVERY

2

3

1

4

5

6 7

8

1011

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

2425

26

2728

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is Apex Attorney Service, 1055 West Seventh St., Suite 250, Los Angeles, CA 90017.

On August 3, 2010, I served the following document(s):

- 1. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT (MOTION NO. 1).
- 2. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT (MOTION NO. 1)
- 3. REPLY IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. AND ITS CROSS DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO INFRINGEMENT OF CLAIM 33 (MOTION NO. 3) AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CROSS MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION.
- 4. RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO INFRINGEMENT OF CLAIM 33 (MOTION NO. 3) AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR CROSS MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION.
- 5. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF CLAIM 33 FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH 35 USC § 112 (MOTION NO. 4).
- 6. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF CLAIM 33 FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH 35 USC § 112 (MOTION NO. 4).
- 7. CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF ANTICIPATION (MOTION NO. 5).

CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-1 8. DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF 2 CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF 3 **ANTICIPATION (MOTION NO. 5).** CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-9. 4 DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT CLAIM 33 IS 5 INVALID FOR FAILURE TO DISCLOSE THE BEST MODE (MOTION NO. 6). 6 CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS COUNTER-7 10. DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF 8 CENTOCOR'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT THAT CLAIM 33 IS INVALID FOR FAILURE TO DISCLOSE THE 9 **BEST MODE (MOTION NO. 6).** 10 SECOND DECLARATION OF MATTHEW A. PEARSON IN 11. SUPPORT OF CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC.'S AND ITS 11 COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLIES TO THEIR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, MOTION FOR 12 CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM TERM "IMMULOGLOBULIN" AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CROSS MOTION FOR 13 SUMMARY ADJUDICATION. 14 APPLICATION TO FILE UNDER SEAL DOCUMENTS **12.** RELATING TO RELATING TO CENTOCOR ORTHO 15 BIOTECH, INC. AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR 16 SUMMARY JUDGMENT, MOTION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM TERM AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CROSS-17 MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION. 18 [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE UNDER SEAL DOCUMENTS **13.** RELATING TO RELATING TO CENTOCOR ORTHO 19 BIOTECH, INC. AND ITS COUNTER-DEFENDANT AFFILIATES' REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS FOR 20 SUMMARY JUDGMENT, MOTION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIM TERM AND RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CROSS-21 MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION. 22 23 on the interested parties in this action or proceeding, by personally delivering a copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope(s), to the addressee(s) at the following 24 address(es): 25

26

27

28

Case 2:08-cv-03573-MRP -JEM Document 332 Filed 08/03/10 Page 7 of 7 Page ID #:9797				
1	David I Gindler Attorneys for Defendant and			
2	David I Gindler Joseph M Lipner Irell and Manella Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant City of Hope Medical Center			
3	1800 Avenue of the Stars Suite 900 Tel: 310-277-1010 Fax: 310-203-7199			
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067-4276 Email: jlipner@irell.com; dgindler@irell.com			
5	Coh.centocor.team@irell.com			
6	State I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.			
7	Federal I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States			
8	Federal I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.			
9				
10	Executed on August 3, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.			
11	STEVE KAISER STO DEUS!			
12	Print Name Signature			
13				
14				
15 16				
17	•			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	6			